Appl. No. 09/837,992 Amdt. dated October 18, 2004 Reply to Office Action of June 16, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Status of the Claims

Upon entry of the present amendment, Claims 1 and 3 are amended, Claims 19-30 and 33-74 are canceled, and new Claims 78-84 are added.

Claim 1 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion to recite "an isolated nucleic acid encoding an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family sterol transporter," and to incorporate the language of previously presented Claim 9. Support for the amendments to Claim 1 are found, for example, on page 3, lines 1-2 and on page 25, lines 5-8.

Claim 2 has been amended to remove reference to SEQ ID NOs 5 and 6.

New claims 78 and 79 have been added to recite amino acid sequences sharing at least 80% and 85% identity, respectively, with SEQ ID NO:3. Support is found, for example, on page 12, lines 30-33 and on page 21, lines 20-25.

New claims 80-82 have been added to recite nucleic acid sequences sharing at least 85%, 90% and 95% identity, respectively, with SEQ ID NO:4. Support is found for example, on page 21, lines 20-25.

New claims 83-84 have been added to place Claims 3 and 11 in independent form.

Objection

The Examiner objected to Claim 2 for reciting SEQ ID NOs: 5 and 6. Applicants have obviated this objection by eliminating the recitation of SEQ ID NOs: 5 and 6 from Claim 2.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, enablement requirement

Claims 1-8, 10, 13-15, 17, 18, 31, 32, 76 and 77 were rejected under Section 112, first paragraph for allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 9 and 11 were not included in this rejection. Claim 9 depends from, and therefore includes all the limitations of Claims 1 and 8.

Appl. No. 09/837,992 Amdt. dated October 18, 2004 Reply to Office Action of June 16, 2004

Applicants have obviated this rejection by amending independent Claim 1 to recite the language of Claim 9. The remaining pending claims, 2-7, 10-11, 13-15, 17-18, 31-32, 76-82, although of a patentably distinct scope from Claim 1, directly or indirectly depend from Claim 1 and therefore include all of the language recited in independent Claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, written description requirement

Claims 1-11, 13-15, 17, 18, 31, 32, 76 and 77 were rejected under Section 112, first paragraph for allegedly failing to meet the written description requirement.

In accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, Applicants have amended independent Claim 1 to recite "an isolated nucleic acid encoding an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family sterol transporter polypeptide." In view of this amendment, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this amendment.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 925-472-5000.

espectfully hbmitted

Hugenia Garrett-Wackowsk

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 925-472-5000 Fax: 415-576,0300

Fax: 415-576-0300 Attachments EGW:lls

60263330 v2

Page 8 of 8